| | Case 4:20-cv-05381-HSG Document 67-3 | 3 Filed 08/09/21 | Page 1 of 2 |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | AARON R. GRUBER (CABN 209509) agruber@rallsgruber.com DYLAN J. CROSBY (CABN 299536) dcrosby@rallsgruber.com Ralls Gruber & Niece LLP 1700 S. El Camino Real, Suite 150 San Mateo, CA 94402 Telephone: 650.445.0543 Attorneys for Counter-Defendant PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY | DISTRICT COUR | Ŷ T |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 9 | OAKLAND DIVISION | | |
| 11 | In Re | | -05381-HSG (Lead Case) |
| 12 | PG&E CORPORATION | (Reference withd | rawn from Bankruptcy Case |
| 13 | v. | No. 19-30088, A Adv. Proc. No. 1 | dv. Proc. No. 20-03019 and 9-03008) |
| 14 15 | AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. | | th Case No. 3:20-cv-08463- |
| 16 | | [PROPOSED] C | ORDER GRANTING |
| 17 | | | ON TO DISMISS/STRIKE SECOND AMENDED JM |
| 18 | | [Fed. R. Civ. P. 1 | |
| 19 | | Date: | November 18, 2021 |
| 20 | | Time: Courtroom: | 2:00 p.m. 2, 4th Floor |
| 21 | | Judge: | Hon. Gilliam S. Haywood, Jr. |
| 22 | | | January 25, 2019 |
| 23 | | Trial Date: | February 14, 2021 |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |

28

| 1 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Pending before this Court is Counter-Defendant Pacific Gas & Electric Company's (PG&E | | |
| 3 | Rule 15(a) Motion to Strike and Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss filed as to Counter-Complainant | | |
| 4 | AECOM Technical Services, Inc's (AECOM) Second Amended Counterclaim. Dkt. No. 65 | | |
| 5 | Having fully considered the matter, the Court GRANTS the motion as follows: | | |
| 6 | 1. Any new allegations pled by AECOM in support of second claim for Breach of | | |
| 7 | Contract, third claim for Breach of Implied Warranty of Adequacy of Plans and Specifications, and | | |
| 8 | fourth claim for Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing are stricken for | | |
| 9 | failure to seek leave to amend. AECOM is ordered to file an amended pleading restating its second, | | |
| 0 | third, and fourth claims plead in its First Amended Counterclaim. | | |
| 1 | 2. AECOM's Fifth Claim for Negligent Misrepresentation is dismissed with prejudice | | |
| 2 | for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. | | |
| 3 | 3. AECOM's Sixth Claim for Fraudulent Concealment is dismissed with prejudice for | | |
| 4 | failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. | | |
| 5 | 4. AECOM's Seventh Claim for Quantum Meruit is dismissed with prejudice for | | |
| 6 | failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. | | |
| 17 | 5. AECOM's Eight Claim for Implied Contractual Indemnity is dismissed with | | |
| 8 | prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. | | |
| 9 | 6. AECOM's Sixteenth Claim for Contribution/Apportionment is dismissed with | | |
| 20 | prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, | | |
| 21 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | Dated: , 2021 | | |
| 24 | HON. GILLIAM S. HAYWOOD, JR. | | |
| 25 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |